arXiv:1409.7533v1 [math.CO] 26 Sep 2014

Stanley character polynomials

Piotr Śniady

Dedicated to Richard P. Stanley on the occasion of his seventieth birthday.

Abstract. Stanley considered suitably normalized characters of the symmetric groups on Young diagrams having a special geometric form, namely *multirectangular Young diagrams*. He proved that the character is a polynomial in the lengths of the sides of the rectangles forming the Young diagram and he conjectured an explicit form of this polynomial. This *Stanley character polynomial* and this way of parametrizing the set of Young diagrams turned out to be a powerful tool for several problems of the dual combinatorics of the characters of the symmetric groups and asymptotic representation theory, in particular to Kerov polynomials.

We shall review Stanley's contribution to understanding of the *normalized characters* $\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\mathbf{p}\times\mathbf{q})$ of the symmetric groups corresponding to *multirectangular Young diagrams* $\mathbf{p}\times\mathbf{q}$, see Figure 1. We will be guided by the following motivating example:

$$\begin{aligned}(0.1)\quad \mathrm{Ch}_5(\mathbf{p}\times\mathbf{q}) = {} & p_1^5q_1 - 10p_1^4q_1^2 + 20p_1^3q_1^3 - 10p_1^2q_1^4 + \mathbf{1}p_1q_1^5 + 15p_1^3q_1 \\ & - 40p_1^2q_1^2 + \mathbf{15}p_1q_1^3 + \mathbf{8}p_1q_1 + \cdots + 25p_1p_2p_3q_1q_2q_3 + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

(the full expression in the case $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$, $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ of three rectangles — shown in Figure 1 — has more than a hundred summands).

1. Normalized characters of the symmetric groups

In the study of the irreducible characters of the symmetric groups

$$\chi^\lambda(\pi) := \operatorname{Tr}\rho^\lambda(\pi)$$

the usual viewpoint is to fix the irreducible representation ρ^λ and to view the character as a function of (the conjugacy class of) the permutation π. It was a brilliant idea of Kerov and Olshanski [**KO94**] to do roughly the opposite: fix the conjugacy class and to consider the character as a function on the set of Young diagrams. In order for this *dual approach* to be successful one has to choose the right normalization of characters, see below.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C30; Secondary 05E10, 05A15, 05C10.

Key words and phrases. characters of symmetric groups, multirectangular Young diagrams, Stanley character polynomial, Stanley character formula, Stanley coordinates, Kerov polynomials.



FIGURE 1. Multirectangular Young diagram $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}$ with $\mathbf{p} = (2, 3, 2)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (11, 8, 5)$.

If π is a partition of k and λ is a partition of n, the corresponding *normalized character* is defined as

$$\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \underbrace{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}_{k \text{ factors}} \dfrac{\chi^\lambda(\pi 1^{n-k})}{\chi^\lambda(1^n)} & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where for the evaluation of the character $\chi^\lambda(\pi 1^{n-k})$ we identify the partition $\pi 1^{n-k}$ with an arbitrary permutation in the symmetric group S_n with the appropriate cycle decomposition.

As we mentioned at the beginning, we shall review Stanley's contribution to understanding of such normalized characters Ch_π. In the following section we will present the context of the asymptotic representation theory where such characters play a very prominent role; an impatient reader may jump directly to Section 5.

2. Asymptotic representation theory of symmetric groups

The above normalization (1.1) of the characters was chosen by Kerov and Olshanski **[KO94]** in such a way, that for each partition π the corresponding character $\lambda \mapsto \mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\lambda)$ can be expressed as a *universal* multivariate polynomial in some simple functionals $(\lambda \mapsto F_\alpha(\lambda))_\alpha$ of shape of the Young diagram λ:

$$\mathrm{Ch}_\pi = P_\pi(F_\alpha). \tag{2.1}$$

An example is postponed until Section 3. We say that the polynomial P_π is *universal* because it does not depend on the choice of λ.

There is some freedom for choosing such functionals of shape and several useful choices are available. For applications it is important that it is possible to choose the functionals $F_\alpha(\lambda)$ in such a way that they describe the *"macroscopic shape"* of λ in some convenient way.

It should be stressed that equalities of type (2.1) are very appealing from the viewpoint of the *asymptotic representation theory of symmetric groups* because they provide a direct link between the values of the irreducible characters and the macroscopic shape of λ; a link that remains useful in the limit when the number of boxes of λ tends to infinity. This is quite opposite to the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule, the classical tool for calculating characters, for which the number of terms

grows very quickly with the number of boxes of λ and, due to cancellations, it is hard to obtain a meaningful asymptotic answer.

The link provided by equalities of type (2.1) between the characters and the macroscopic shape of λ was the cornerstone of some upper bounds on the characters [**RŚ08**] as well as several results concerning the statistical properties of the random irreducible component ρ^λ of a given reducible representation. These results include the law of large numbers for the shape of the corresponding random Young diagram λ [**Bia98**] and central limit theorem for the fluctuations of λ around this shape [**Ker93, IO02, Śni06**].

Unfortunately, this result (2.1) of Kerov and Olshanski [**KO94**] is only existential and it does not say too much on how exactly this polynomial P_π looks like. *How to find such a polynomial explicitly?* This question is motivated not only by some algebraic-combinatorial curiosity, since in all the papers cited in the previous paragraph the key step was to find some upper bounds on the asymptotic behavior of this polynomial P_π.

The results of Stanley which we discuss in this note are related to the problem of finding this polynomial explicitly in the case when for the functionals of shape (F_α) we take *the free cumulants*. We will review these quantities in the following section.

3. Free cumulants and Kerov polynomials

Biane [**Bia98**] (for a quick overview article see [**Bia01**]) introduced a particularly nice family of functionals of the shape of a Young diagram, namely *the free cumulants* $R_2(\lambda), R_3(\lambda), \ldots$. Their original definition (*"Speicher's free cumulants of Kerov's transition measure of the Young diagram λ"*) is somewhat technically involved. For our purposes it is enough to keep in mind that this definition gives a concrete and computationally efficient relationship between the macroscopic shape of a Young diagram λ and the sequence of its free cumulants $R_2(\lambda), R_3(\lambda), \ldots$.

Their key feature is the following one: *the free cumulant R_{k+1} gives the first-order approximation for the value of the character* Ch_k *on the cycle of length k*, thus free cumulants give an (approximate) answer to the fundamental problem of the relationship between the characters and the macroscopic shape of the Young diagram. In the above statement we have to specify in which way the Young diagram should tend to infinity as there are many choices available. In this note we are interested in the limit in which the Young diagram tends to infinity, maintaining its macroscopic shape; this kind of limit can be obtained by considering *dilations* $s\lambda$ for $s \to \infty$ and for a fixed Young diagram λ, see Figure 2b. The above-mentioned key feature of the free cumulants can be formulated precisely as follows: for any $k \geq 1$ and any Young diagram λ

$$R_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Ch}_k(s\lambda)}{s^{k+1}}. \tag{3.1}$$

In fact, the above equality can be regarded as an alternative (but a bit implicit) definition of free cumulants.

The expansion (2.1) in terms of free cumulants was explicitly introduced by Kerov [**Ker00**] (it appeared implicitly before, in one of the proofs of Biane [**Bia98**]), in particular Kerov calculated the first few examples for the case when $\pi = (k)$



(A) (B)

FIGURE 2. (a) Young diagram $\lambda = (3, 1)$. (b) The dilation $2\lambda = (6, 6, 2, 2)$ of the Young diagram λ shown on the left. Informally speaking, the original Young diagram and its dilation have the same macroscopic shape; they differ just by the number of boxes.

consists of only one part:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ch}_1 &= R_2, \\
\mathrm{Ch}_2 &= R_3, \\
\mathrm{Ch}_3 &= R_4 + R_2, \\
\mathrm{Ch}_4 &= R_5 + 5R_3, \\
\mathrm{Ch}_5 &= \mathbf{1}R_6 + \mathbf{15}R_4 + 5R_2^2 + \mathbf{8}R_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The right-hand sides are now called *Kerov character polynomials*; we denote them by the special symbol $K_k = K_k(R_2, R_3, \dots)$. Here and in (2.1) we use a simplified notation and write Ch_π instead of $\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\lambda)$ as well as we write R_k instead of $R_k(\lambda)$; the equalities hold true for an arbitrary Young diagram λ.

Kerov [**Ker00**] proved that the coefficients of these polynomials are integers (for the proof see [**Bia03**]; this reference is also a good introduction to the topic of Kerov polynomials) and he conjectured that these coefficients are *non-negative*. If this conjecture — called *Kerov positivity conjecture* — is true, it is natural to ask: *are there some natural combinatorial objects, the cardinalities of which are counted by the coefficients of Kerov polynomials?* Thus Kerov's conjecture hinted on the existence of some mysterious hidden combinatorial structure behind the characters of the symmetric groups. For this reason it was a powerful force influencing the research in algebraic combinatorics for almost a decade.

The expansion of the character Ch_5 in terms of the free cumulants (3.2) and the expansion of this character on a multirectangular Young diagram (0.1) have some coefficients in common, namely the numbers 1, 15 and 8 (marked boldface in both equations). This is not an accident; in fact it has been observed by Stanley [**Sta04**] that the knowledge of the coefficients of the polynomial $\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q})$ can be used in order to calculate (some of) the coefficients of Kerov polynomials. We will review this link in the following section.

4. Characters on rectangular Young diagrams

Stanley [**Sta04**] considered rectangular Young diagrams $p \times q = (\underbrace{q, q, \dots, q}_{p \text{ times}})$, see Figure 3. He proved the following partial result which concerns such diagrams.



FIGURE 3. Rectangular Young diagram $p \times q$ with $p = 4$ and $q = 5$.

THEOREM 4.1 ([**Sta04**, Theorem 1]). *Let $\pi \in S_k$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(p \times q) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_k \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \pi}} (-1)^{\sigma_1}\, q^{\kappa(\sigma_1)}\, p^{\kappa(\sigma_2)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\kappa(\alpha)$ denotes the number of the cycles of a permutation $\alpha \in S_n$, and where for the purposes of the normalized character Ch_π we view $\pi \vdash k$ as a partition which gives the cycle structure of $\pi \in S_k$.

The above formula for the character might not be very useful from the practical point of view (the number of summands grows very quickly with k). Nevertheless, it is very convenient for several problems of the asymptotic representation theory in which one studies the character Ch_π for a fixed conjugacy class π while the Young diagram λ (i.e., p and q) tends to infinity, because the number of terms, no matter how large, remains constant and each term has a very simple form. It was one of the first few explicit formulas for the characters Ch_π with this property. Its drawback is that it gives the characters only for Young diagrams of some specific form, nevertheless it could still be used to find some new bounds for the characters on Young diagrams of arbitrary shape [**RŚ08**].

This theorem together with the definition (3.1) implies that the free cumulant $R_k(p \times q)$ is equal to the the sum of these terms on the right-hand side of (4.1) applied to $\pi = (1, 2, \ldots, k)$ for which $\kappa(\sigma_1) + \kappa(\sigma_2) = k + 1$ (in the literature one says that $\pi = \sigma_1\sigma_2$ is a *minimal factorization*). In particular,

$$R_{k+1}(p \times q) = pq^k + (\text{summands which are divisible by } p^2). \tag{4.2}$$

The latter equality implies that the coefficients of the linear terms of Kerov polynomials are directly related to some coefficients of the polynomial $\mathrm{Ch}_k(p \times q)$:

$$[R_i]K_k = [pq^{i-1}]\,\mathrm{Ch}_k(p \times q). \tag{4.3}$$

Stanley's formula (4.1) gives an explicit combinatorial interpretation to the right-hand-side, thus we obtain the following partial result which supports Kerov positivity conjecture. This result appears implicitly in the work of Stanley [**Sta04**, pp. 9–10] and explicitly in an independent work of Biane [**Bia03**, Theorem 6.1] who used a closely related method.

COROLLARY 4.2. The linear term $[R_i]K_k$ of the Kerov polynomial is equal to the number of pairs $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in S_k \times S_k$ such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (1, 2, \ldots, k)$, and the number of cycles of σ_1 is equal to $i - 1$ while the number of cycles of σ_2 is equal to 1.

The above reasoning explains the coincidences between some of the coefficients of (3.2) and (0.1). Unfortunately, the information about the behavior of the characters only on the rectangular Young diagrams is not sufficient for most purposes, including study of more complicated coefficients of the Kerov polynomials. In the following section we will discuss Stanley's attempt to overcome this difficulty.

5. Stanley character polynomial

In the same paper **[Sta04]** Stanley introduced an interesting class of *multirectangular Young diagrams* $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}$ (where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$ is a tuple of non-negative integers and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$ is a non-increasing tuple of non-negative integers, see Figure 1) and initiated the investigation of the corresponding character $\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q})$. The numbers $p_1, p_2, \dots, q_1, q_2, \dots$ are now called *Stanley coordinates*. One could argue that the introduction of the class of multirectangular Young diagrams was rather naive, as *any* Young diagram $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ can be regarded as a multirectangular one for the trivial choice $\mathbf{p} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, $\mathbf{q} = (1, 1, \dots)$. However, the core of Stanley's idea was the possibility of considering the character $\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q})$ for a fixed conjugacy class π, as a *polynomial* in the variables $p_1, p_2, \dots, q_1, q_2, \dots$ and studying its coefficients. For an example see (0.1). This polynomial, now called *the Stanley character polynomial*, turned out to be a powerful algebraic tool for investigation of the characters of the symmetric groups.

Note that the roles played by indeterminates $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$ are very different than the roles played by $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$; in particular the multirectangular Young diagram $\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}$ might not be well-defined. The reader may also complain that the description of the fundamental involution of Young diagrams $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$ given by transposition is rather awkward in Stanley coordinates and thus one should rather find another parametrization of multirectangular Young diagrams which would make this symmetry more transparent; for example some new parametrization in which $(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q})' = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. However, it seems that the calculations such as the one from Section 6 work best in the original Stanley coordinates and not in such more democratic variations.

Stanley's investigation culminated in a truly remarkable unpublished preprint **[Sta06]**, in which the following conjectural explicit formula (5.1) for the Stanley character polynomial was stated. This formula is similar to the double sum over the symmetric group from (4.1), but it additionally involves a summation over *"colorings"* of the cycles $f_1 \colon C(\sigma_1) \to \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $f_2 \colon C(\sigma_2) \to \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, where $C(\alpha)$ denotes the set of cycles of $\alpha \in S_k$. We sum over all possible colorings f_2 of the cycles of σ_2, while the coloring f_1 of the cycles of σ_1 is determined.

Conjecture 5.1 ([**Sta06**, Conjecture 3]). For any $\pi \in S_k$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ch}_\pi(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_k \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \pi}} \sum_{f_2 : C(\sigma_2) \to \{1,2,\dots,\ell\}} (-1)^{\sigma_1} \left(\prod_{c \in C(\sigma_1)} q_{f_1(c)} \right) \left(\prod_{d \in C(\sigma_2)} p_{f_2(d)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where the coloring $f_1 \colon C(\sigma_1) \to \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ is defined by

$$f_1(c) = \max_{\substack{d \in C(\sigma_2), \\ c \cap d \neq \emptyset}} f_2(d);$$

the maximum is taken over all cycles $d \in C(\sigma_2)$ which have a non-empty intersection with the cycle $c \in C(\sigma_1)$.

The above formula, which is currently called *Stanley character formula*, might at first sight scare the Reader; in the following section we will get familiar with it by analyzing a toy example, while in Section 8 we will present it in an equivalent, but more transparent form.

6. Stanley character polynomials in action: toy example of Kerov polynomials

A monomial in the variables $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell, q_1, \ldots, q_\ell$ is called $\mathbf{p}$-*square-free* if it is not divisible by p_i^2 for any $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. It turns out that such $\mathbf{p}$-square-free terms of Stanley character polynomials encode in a convenient way the information about Kerov polynomials. In this section we will explore this connection in a toy example. The reasoning presented in this section is a simplified version of the one from [**DFŚ09**].

In the following we shall assume the validity of Conjecture 5.1. Using the topological tools which will be discussed in Section 8 one can easily show the following extension of (4.2) for $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$:

$$R_{k+1}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) = p_1 q_1^k + p_2 q_2^k - k \sum_{\substack{j_1 \geq 1 \\ j_2 \geq 2 \\ j_1 + j_2 = k+1}} p_1 p_2 q_1^{j_1 - 1} q_2^{j_2 - 1} + (\text{summands which are divisible by } p_1^2 \text{ or by } p_2^2). \tag{6.1}$$

Assume that F is a function on the set of Young diagrams which can be expressed as a polynomial in the free cumulants $R_2, R_3, \ldots$. Then F evaluated on the multirectangular Young diagram $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}$ becomes a polynomial in Stanley coordinates $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell, q_1, \ldots, q_\ell$. By a direct calculation based on (6.1) and by considering separately the three cases: (i) when $F = R_{k+1}$ is linear in free cumulants, (ii) when $F = R_{k_1+1} R_{k_2+1}$ is quadratic, and, (iii) when F is a product of at least three free cumulants, it follows by linearity that for an arbitrary choice of F:

$$\left[p_1 p_2 q_1^{j_1 - 1} q_2^{j_2 - 1} \right] F(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) = \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^{j_2 - 1} q_2^{j_1 - 1} \right] F(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \qquad \text{for } j_1, j_2 \geq 2, \tag{6.2}$$

i.e., if the exponents of q_1 and q_2 are strictly positive, the coefficient does not change if we swap them.

Again by (6.1) and by considering separately the same three cases as above, it follows for $j_1 \neq j_2$ that the coefficient of the "Kerov polynomial" for F is given by:

$$[R_{j_1} R_{j_2}] F = \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^{j_1 - 1} q_2^{j_2 - 1} \right] F(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) - \left[p_1 p_2 q_2^{j_1 + j_2 - 2} \right] F(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}); \tag{6.3}$$

compare with (4.3). In particular, (6.2) and (6.3) can be applied in the special case when $F = \mathrm{Ch}_k$ is the normalized character of the symmetric group; in this case the left-hand-side of (6.3) is just the coefficient $[R_{j_1} R_{j_2}] K_k$ of the usual Kerov polynomial.

These results on $\mathbf{p}$-square-free terms of Stanley character polynomials are sufficient to find a combinatorial interpretation of the quadratic coefficients of Kerov polynomials; however this time the proof will be much more complicated than the one of Corollary 4.2. This result was first proved by Féray [**Fér10**, Theorem 1.4.4].

THEOREM 6.1. *For all integers $j_1 \neq j_2$ such that $j_1, j_2 \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ the quadratic coefficient $[R_{j_1} R_{j_2}]K_k$ of the Kerov polynomial is equal to the number of triples (σ_1, σ_2, f) with the following properties:*

(a) $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_k$ are such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (1, 2, \ldots, k)$;
(b) σ_2 consists of two cycles;
(c) σ_1 consists of $j_1 + j_2 - 2$ cycles;
(d) $f: C(\sigma_2) \to \{1, 2\}$ is a bijective labeling of the two cycles of σ_2;
(e) for each cycle $c \in C(\sigma_2)$ there are at least $j_{f(c)}$ cycles of σ_1 which intersect c nontrivially.

PROOF. Let us compute the number of the triples (σ_1, σ_2, f) which are counted in the statement of the theorem. By the inclusion-exclusion principle it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&(\#\text{triples which fulfill conditions (a)–(d)})\\ &+(-1)(\#\text{triples for which the cycle } f^{-1}(1) \text{ intersects at most } j_1-1 \text{ cycles of } \sigma_1)\\ &+(-1)(\#\text{triples for which the cycle } f^{-1}(2) \text{ intersects at most } j_2-1 \text{ cycles of } \sigma_1).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

It might seem that the above formula is not complete, since the inclusion-exclusion principle has one term more; however one can easily check that in this case it vanishes.

By the Stanley character formula (5.1) the first summand of (6.4) is equal to

$$(-1) \sum_{\substack{a+b=j_1+j_2-2,\\ 1\leq b}} \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^a q_2^b\right] \operatorname{Ch}_k(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}), \tag{6.5}$$

the second summand of (6.4) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{a+b=j_1+j_2-2,\\ 1\leq a\leq j_1-1}} \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^b q_2^a\right] \operatorname{Ch}_k(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}), \tag{6.6}$$

and the third summand of (6.4) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{a+b=j_1+j_2-2,\\ 1\leq b\leq j_2-1}} \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^a q_2^b\right] \operatorname{Ch}_k(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}). \tag{6.7}$$

We can apply (6.2) to the summands of (6.6); it follows that (6.6) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{a+b=j_1+j_2-2,\\ 1\leq a\leq j_1-1}} \left[p_1 p_2 q_1^a q_2^b\right] \operatorname{Ch}_k(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}). \tag{6.8}$$

The sum of (6.5), (6.8), and (6.7) is equal to the right-hand of (6.3) which finishes the proof. □

7. Stanley character polynomials in action: generic coefficients of Kerov polynomials

The pattern which we encountered for the linear and for the quadratic terms of Kerov polynomials turns out to hold true in general; we shall review it briefly. It turns out that an arbitrary coefficient of the Kerov polynomial K_k can be expressed as a closed formula in terms of the coefficients of the Stanley polynomial $\operatorname{Ch}_k(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q})$ (for the special case of the linear terms see (4.3); for the quadratic terms see (6.3)). Because of some symmetries of the Stanley character polynomial



FIGURE 4. (a) Map on the torus; the left side of the square should be glued to the right side, as well as bottom to top, as indicated by arrows. The white vertices correspond to the cycles of $\sigma_1 = (1,5,4,2)(3)$, the black vertices correspond to the cycles of $\sigma_2 = (2,3,5)(1,4)$, the unique face corresponds to the unique cycle of $\pi = \sigma_1\sigma_2 = (1,2,3,4,5)$. (b) An example of an embedding of this map: $F(\Sigma) = \alpha$, $F(\Pi) = \beta$, $F(V) = a$, $F(W) = c$. $F(1) = F(4) = (a\beta)$, $F(2) = F(5) = (a\alpha)$, $F(3) = (c\alpha)$. The columns of the Young diagram were indexed by Latin letters, the rows by Greek letters.

(analogous to (6.2)) this closed formula can be rewritten in a form which allows a direct combinatorial interpretation (for the special case of quadratic terms see Theorem 6.1 and its proof). For the generic case this combinatorial interpretation has a flavour related to Theorem 6.1, but it is much more complicated [**DFŚ10**]. This gives a proof of the Kerov's positivity conjecture together with an explicit combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of Kerov polynomials.

Note that the first proof of Kerov's positivity conjecture (but without the explicit combinatorial interpretation) was earlier, due to Féray [**Fér09**].

8. The structure behind Stanley character formula

It seems that the true difficulty related to Stanley's character formula (5.1) was to guess its correct form. Indeed, once Conjecture 5.1 has been formulated by Stanley [**Sta06**], a proof has been found by Féray [**Fér10**] only a half a year later (some partial results have been obtained also by Rattan [**Rat08**]). Another half a year later, a more elementary proof has been found [**FŚ11a**]. In the same paper a combinatorial interpretation of the mysterious right-hand-side of Stanley's character formula (5.1) has been found. We will review it in the following.

Firstly, it is convenient to represent a pair of permutations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_k$ as an *oriented map* [**LZ04**], i.e., as a bipartite graph with labeled edges embedded into an oriented surface, see Figure 4a, determined as follows. The cycles of the permutation σ_1 (resp., σ_2) determine the cyclic order (counterclockwise) of the

labels around black (resp., white) vertices. The faces of the map correspond to the cycles of the product $\pi = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.

An *embedding* of such a map to a Young diagram λ is a function which associates to white vertices – columns of λ, to black vertices – rows of λ, and to edges – boxes of λ (these functions need not to be injective). We also require that an embedding should preserve the incidence, i.e. if a vertex V is incident to an edge E, then they should be mapped to a row or column $F(V)$ which contains the box $F(E)$, see Figure 4.

It is rather easy to see that the right-hand side of Stanley character formula (5.1) has a nice combinatorial interpretation as a (signed) sum of the numbers of embedding of all maps with a fixed face-structure $\pi = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.

A very nice feature of this viewpoint on the Stanley character formula is that the asymptotic behavior of each summand on a dilated Young diagram $s\lambda$ in the limit $s \to \infty$ depends directly on the Euler characteristic of the map. In the case of the characters Ch_k corresponding to a single cycle, the maximal contribution comes from *planar maps*, i.e. maps, which are drawn on the surface of a sphere. This, together with the definition (3.1), gives immediately a concrete formula for free cumulants. We leave it as a simple exercise to the reader to recover (4.2) and (6.1) in this way.

The Stanley character formula, viewed as in the current section, was the key in the proof of new bounds on the character ratios $\frac{\chi^\lambda(\pi)}{\chi^\lambda(\mathrm{Id})}$ in the case when the length of the permutation $\pi \in S_k$ becomes large when compared to k [**FŚ11a**].

9. Further perspectives

We will review some further advancements related to Stanley character polynomials and the Stanley character formula; they indicate that the field remains active.

The characters of the symmetric groups can be alternatively viewed as the *spherical functions* of the *Gelfand pair* $(S_k \times S_k, S_k)$, where S_k is viewed as a subgroup of $S_k \times S_k$ via the diagonal map. It been observed by Scarabotti [**Sca11**] that an analogue of Stanley's formula (5.1) holds true as well for the Gelfand pair $(S_k \times S_{k-1}, S_{k-1})$.

The paper [**AFNT13**] concerns the most general form of polynomials which could arise as Stanley polynomials, as well as some non-commutative generalizations.

Probably the most interesting direction of development in the field of Stanley polynomials is related to *Jack characters*. They are a one-parameter deformation of the characters of the symmetric groups, and describe the dual combinatorics of *Jack symmetric functions*. Jack characters were introduced by Lassalle [**Las08, Las09**] who also formulated several challenging conjectures concerning them, in particular an analogue of Kerov positivity conjecture. These conjectures hint on existence of some hidden, highly mysterious combinatorial structure behind Jack symmetric functions. A natural direction of attack would be to guess and prove an analogue of the Stanley's character formula (5.1). There are some hints on how this generalization should look like: for a special value $\gamma = 0$ of the deformation parameter we recover the usual characters of the symmetric groups and the Stanley character formula (5.1) is available; viewed as in Section 8 it involves a summation over *oriented*

maps. For other special choices $\gamma = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ an analogue of the Stanley character formula is also known [**FŚ11b**], but this time it involves a summation over *all, possibly non-orientable* maps. Thus it is natural to suspect that the hypothetical Stanley character formula for the general case should involve some weight which measures "non-orientability" of a given map. Solution of this problem would hopefully shed some light on some old conjectures concerning the hypothetical combinatorial interpretation of some other quantities related to Jack symmetric functions, including the *matching Jack conjecture* of Goulden and Jackson [**GJ96, LC09**]. Unfortunately, making the right guess for the Stanley formula is not an easy task [**DFŚ13**]. In order to tease the Reader, we provide a toy example below in the case of two rectangles.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ch}_3^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{p}\times\mathbf{q}) = {} & p_1^3q_1 - 3p_1^2q_1^2 + p_1q_1^3 + 3p_1^2p_2q_2 + 3p_1p_2^2q_2 + p_2^3q_2 - 3p_1p_2q_1q_2 \\ & - 3p_1p_2q_2^2 - 3p_2^2q_2^2 + p_2q_2^3 - 3p_1^2q_1\gamma + 3p_1q_1^2\gamma - 6p_1p_2q_2\gamma \\ & - 3p_2^2q_2\gamma + 3p_2q_2^2\gamma + 2p_1q_1\gamma^2 + 2p_2q_2\gamma^2 + p_1q_1 + p_2q_2.\end{aligned}$$

Maybe Richard Stanley could again help and guess the formula standing behind such computer-generated data?

Finally, to readers who would like to get a different perspective on Kerov polynomials and the Stanley character formula we recommend an overview article [**Śni14**].

References

[AFNT13] Jean-Christophe Aval, Valentin Féray, Jean-Christophe Novelli, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Quasi-symmetric functions as polynomial functions on Young diagrams. Preprint arXiv:1312.2727v1, 2013.

[Bia98] Philippe Biane. Representations of symmetric groups and free probability. *Adv. Math.*, 138(1):126–181, 1998.

[Bia01] Philippe Biane. Free cumulants and representations of large symmetric groups. In *XIIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics (London, 2000)*, pages 321–326. Int. Press, Boston, MA, 2001.

[Bia03] Philippe Biane. Characters of symmetric groups and free cumulants. In *Asymptotic combinatorics with applications to mathematical physics (St. Petersburg, 2001)*, volume 1815 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 185–200. Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[DFŚ09] Maciej Dołęga, Valentin Féray, and Piotr Śniady. Characters of symmetric groups in terms of free cumulants and Frobenius coordinates. In *21st International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2009)*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AK, pages 337–348. Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2009.

[DFŚ10] Maciej Dołęga, Valentin Féray, and Piotr Śniady. Explicit combinatorial interpretation of Kerov character polynomials as numbers of permutation factorizations. *Adv. Math.*, 225(1):81–120, 2010.

[DFŚ13] Maciej Dołęga, Valentin Féray, and Piotr Śniady. Jack polynomials and orientability generating series of maps. Preprint arXiv:1301.6531, 2013.

[Fér09] Valentin Féray. Combinatorial interpretation and positivity of Kerov's character polynomials. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 29(4):473–507, 2009.

[Fér10] Valentin Féray. Stanley's formula for characters of the symmetric group. *Ann. Comb.*, 13(4):453–461, 2010.

[FŚ11a] Valentin Féray and Piotr Śniady. Asymptotics of characters of symmetric groups related to Stanley character formula. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):887–906, 2011.

[FŚ11b] Valentin Féray and Piotr Śniady. Zonal polynomials via Stanley's coordinates and free cumulants. *J. Algebra*, 334:338–373, 2011.

[GJ96] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson. Connection coefficients, matchings, maps and combinatorial conjectures for Jack symmetric functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(3):873–892, 1996.

[IO02] Vladimir Ivanov and Grigori Olshanski. Kerov's central limit theorem for the Plancherel measure on Young diagrams. In *Symmetric functions 2001: surveys of developments and perspectives*, volume 74 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 93–151. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.

[Ker93] Serguei Kerov. Gaussian limit for the Plancherel measure of the symmetric group. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 316(4):303–308, 1993.

[Ker00] Serguei Kerov. Talk in Institute Henri Poincaré, Paris, January 2000.

[KO94] Serguei Kerov and Grigori Olshanski. Polynomial functions on the set of Young diagrams. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 319(2):121–126, 1994.

[Las08] Michel Lassalle. A positivity conjecture for Jack polynomials. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(4):661–681, 2008.

[Las09] Michel Lassalle. Jack polynomials and free cumulants. *Adv. Math.*, 222(6):2227–2269, 2009.

[LC09] Michael Andrew La Croix. *The combinatorics of the Jack parameter and the genus series for topological maps*. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2009.

[LZ04] Sergei K. Lando and Alexander K. Zvonkin. *Graphs on surfaces and their applications*, volume 141 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. With an appendix by Don B. Zagier, Low-Dimensional Topology, II.

[Rat08] A. Rattan. Stanley's character polynomials and coloured factorisations in the symmetric group. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 115(4):535–546, 2008.

[RŚ08] Amarpreet Rattan and Piotr Śniady. Upper bound on the characters of the symmetric groups for balanced Young diagrams and a generalized Frobenius formula. *Adv. Math.*, 218(3):673–695, 2008.

[Sca11] Fabio Scarabotti. The Stanley-Féray-Śniady formula for the generalized characters of the symmetric group. *Colloq. Math.*, 124(2):285–291, 2011.

[Śni06] Piotr Śniady. Gaussian fluctuations of characters of symmetric groups and of Young diagrams. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 136(2):263–297, 2006.

[Śni14] Piotr Śniady. Combinatorics of asymptotic representation theory. In Rafał Latała, Andrzej Ruciński, Paweł Strzelecki, Jacek Świątkowski, Dariusz Wrzosek, and Piotr Zakrzewski, editors, *European Congress of Mathematics Kraków, 2–7 July, 2012*, pages 531–545. European Mathamatical Society Publishing House, 2014.

[Sta04] Richard P. Stanley. Irreducible symmetric group characters of rectangular shape. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 50:Art. B50d, 11 pp. (electronic), 2003/04.

[Sta06] Richard P. Stanley. A conjectured combinatorial interpretation of the normalized irreducible character values of the symmetric group. Preprint arXiv:math.CO/0606467v2, 2006.

Adam Mickiewicz University, The Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Collegium Mathematicum, ul. Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: piotr.sniady@amu.edu.pl